App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1931. (RM)

RM **File No.** 4-80.

Serial Nos. 63-93-95-100-101 & 102

Later References
RM 2-32 Go. 135 & 37
RM 4-37-42-50.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Six + two = Eight

Part B for August 1932

SUBJECT:

Remission of half wet and garden assessment in respect of certain lands of Sri Chidambaram and Sankara Mudali,

---

Mr Fuller
21/8/32

G. R. S. APP F. III—1] 193 0.- 31

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(R. It)

NOTES.

File No. 4-30

FILE HEADING.

Remissions

FROM The Asst Govt in Jaffna.

No. C 2582/30-27 Dated 6th Nov. 31 Received 6-11-31

Diary No. R 40/It	Enclos. Nil	Spare copies	Serial No. 62

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

~~Requests~~ Recommends that the Wet lands under certain tanks in the Vaddukoddai Pakuti and Kopay under the Iruvarahalli tank in the Chunnagam Pakuti and also the garden lands under Thennamaram tank in the Chunnagam Pakuti being the current year, although the Remission Rules are not applicable to the ~~latter~~ garden lands of areca and Cocoanut trees.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Rule 9 of the Rules approved by G.O. No. R 14-25) L.R. 14 9-24-74 of the 31 October 1925 governing the grant of remission of Wet and garden assessments, says inter alia that the said rules do not apply to lands ~~with~~ cultivated with perennial garden crops like areca and Cocoanut.

The Asst. Govt now recommends that the

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 02552—GPB—5,000—10-7-30

L.O.M.

RR File No. 6 of 1930/31 | General and Revenue Secretariat

the garden owners of lands under the Meenamadam tank in the Chittirapalayam Taluk may also be given the same concession as are extended to the Wet lands in view of the fact that their plight is actually worse than that of those holding Wet lands as the latter have at least raised one crop on their lands.

2 orders are solicited on the following points:-

1) Whether remission of half the assessment may be sanctioned in respect of Wet lands under Jawaraballi tank in the Chittirapalayam Taluk and also on garden lands in the Dharapuram Taluk as per Remission Rules.

2) Whether remission of half garden assessment may be granted in respect of garden lands under Meenamadam tank in the Chittirapalayam Taluk in the circumstances stated above.

4 The total amount to be remitted Rs 1138-1-00. A statement showing remission recommended is put up. E

7/4/31

5 The facts meeting by the D.B. or the A.B. may be noted as effected and either to remission of half the wet or garden assessments. The total amount to be remitted Rs 1138-1-00

2/4/31

6 Member

10/4/31

11/4/31

2/11/4/31

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
11 APR. 31
No. 9389

4574

App. F. III—21

General and Revenue Secretariat | R 17 File No. 4 of 1930

Serial No.

7) The Extension of the remission rules to garden lands where area + covenant has are from is an important matter that requires consideration.

8) From the 8th Clause B it is not clear that the condition laid down in Rule 4 of the Remission rules is satisfied. It is said that the yield was 4 bags — But How the what extent is the yield 4 bags ? Is that Extension more than half the area under the Coffee? These BS accept quite in the form prescribed in the Govt. of 3.10.25. (I dont also understand if such there report were called. It is Expected that the report should reach Govt by 15th Nov.)

Lee
10/11/31

R
13 4 31

2/13/4/31

9) Matter is send for orders

(D Secretary) 2/16/4/31

15/4/31

11) Issue

R
16 4 31

2/16/4/31

NOTE—Confn. Sheet.

WD.02353—GRB—50,000—8 7 30

Letter No. R 5) 88 Q 21. 4. 31 6 Rec 21

Rec Cus

Cases for confiscation or Customs

boards.

Secret

Ry

26/4

AS

From

The Revenue Commissioner

No C. 2584/3. 31 dated 22 / 4 /

With reference to ...

... I have the honour to forward ...

... returns showing the number of cases ...

... Customs and Excise ...

P. T. O.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

From

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

No. C. 2584/30-31 dated 23-6-1931

With reference to serial 71 supra forwards a copy of Kadur Deputy Commissioner's letter No. A4-1038/30-31 dated 11-6-1931 furnishing the necessary information called for in respect of lands in the Tarikere Taluk and promises to furnish records relating to Chikkamagalur shortly, and recommends sanction to the remission of half wet and garden assessment on the lands referred to in the Tarikere Taluk.

P.V.D.I

17) In his letter dated 1-4-1931 the R.C. recommended sanction to the remission of half-wet and garden assessment on certain lands (including those under perennial garden crops) during the year 1930-31 in the Tarikere and Chikkamagalur Taluks.

V.

13) Certain additional information having been called for, the R.C. now forwards records relating to Tarikere Taluk only and recommends that half wet and garden assessment amounting to Rs. 462-12-0 on lands under certain tanks may be ordered to be remitted.

Para 2 of the P.V.D.I

14) The first point raised by the Member's minute dated 12-10-31 (the extension of the Remission Rules to garden lands where Areca

para 7 supra

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GBB—8-7 30

File No. 5288/1 of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

and Co-Commt are given) will be taken and worked up separately as soon as the particular question under reference is disposed of.

i) As regards remission on lands in the Chikkanagalur Taluk, the report promised by the Dy. Kadur Dt. and the Rev. Comm. may be awaited.

ii) As recommended by the Rev. Comm. the wet and garden lands comprising the Tatchkat of Certain tanks in the Tarikere Taluk may be notified as entitled to the remission of half wet and garden assessments. As the question of remission for perennial garden crops and is involved in this Taluk.

iii) Submit with a draft notification as per Govt. instruction on the P.U.D.

I do not think it is necessary that the general question should be taken up for enquiry in

Para 2, (Page 17)

The Remission statements are flagged E, F, G, I, and A.

The Revised Remission Rules to be given in Chapter XII.

Suggestion to Rev Member Comptroller

18) Sec'y 2/21/7/31

19) 2nd member 23.7.31

20) (To save clerical labour, in future issue a standardised forms duly printed) DS

action taken separately. 2/23/7/31

21) by 25/7/31 DS

22 Secy 25-7

2/25/7/31

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
24 JULY 1931
No. 912

5788

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

94 To The Compiler Mysore Gazette

Notn no. R 480 dated 28.7.1931

Forwards for publication the notification re. remission of half wet and garden assessments during 1930-31 on certain wet and garden lands in Davangere Taluk.

Memo no. R&S 81 dated 28-7-1931 forwards a copy of the notification to the R.C. with the connected record

Sub C
15 7/8
fc

95 From The Revenue Comm.

No. C 2584/30-31 dt 7.9.1931

Furnishes the required information in respect of Chikmagalur Taluk and states that the total extent yielding a crop of 4 as and under is 173-38 under Devarahalli and Chinnamanikavalkere tanks and this extent is more than half of the area of 194 acres 1 gunta under these tanks.

2/3 Information re Details of catchment of each tank, the extent in respect of which the yield was 4 as and under, whether the extent is more than half the area under the tank etc. is furnished in the statement enclosed in the file flagged X. The exact

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

(survey No. not)
area in which perennial crops such as areca and cocoanut were grown has not been given. This information may perhaps be called for as the figures shown in the Statement IV do not tally with the Pahani extracts. For instance the Dhuravee paire extent under Thimmappanakere tank is shown as only 1 acre and 26 guntas whereas it works up to nearly 10 acres with reference to Pahani extract. There has been a similar discrepancy in respect of Veeranna halli tank. 2 L 2 2

4) In the Pahani, the yield of the Cocoanut crop is shown as varying from 40 as to 800 and the Personal Asst to the D.C. who inspected the area in question opines that the pahani had not been correctly maintained by the Shanbhogue and the yield of the crops recorded therein cannot be relied upon. Para 11 (c)

Pahani work is an important one and the local officers should satisfy themselves, by enquiries that this has been properly & correctly prepared. (vide page 301 of the Revenue Manual) There has been a deficiency in this important work which requires explanation

MS 12/9

General and Revenue Secretariat — R.R. File No. 965/4 of 1930. — App. F. III—2]

Serial No.

1 / The ataka of Iswaratally tank 5 16½ acres 1 gunta. Of this extent 12½ acres 24 guntas were cultivated. The statement (x) states that this cultivated extent consisted of both wet & garden but mostly the garden not containing cocoanut & areca (1 5 0 5 0) — The Patta'd which is stated to be not reliable and the Asstt Comr's letter of 1.12.30 shows that there was areca & cocoanut cultivation also under this tank — The R.D. may be asked to explain this discrepancy & furnish a correct report in this as regards the extent of cultivation with perennial garden crops & the extent cultivated with other crops. Similar information may be called for in respect of Kiramandakandi tank also —

18/9/31

26 It is now reported that the total extent that yielded

NOTE — Contn. Sheet

a cost of 4 annas and less or 173 acres or 38 Jeribs under both the tanks on the The total area under the two tanks is 194 acres or 1 Jerib. Hence the remission of half cost on garden assessment except under bund pani under perennial garden crops may perhaps be sanctioned by the ~~Secretary of State~~ Govt of Bombay remission for bund pani on perennial garden crops will create an inconvenient precedent as the A-C's recommendation regarding the same may not be accepted.

2. From the Statement furnished by the Amildar it does not appear that only 20 acres are under perennial garden crops (vide para 2).

22/5/31

Flat

Flag X

Details

2 There is some discrepancy between the statement furnished by the Amildar and the Patani. The Patani shows perennial garden crops on practically a quarter of the Thimatha area than the Amildar. This would not matter if the proposal of the R.C. to grant remission even in the case of perennial garden lands under this tank is accepted. As this would create an inconvenient precedent it would be better to verify the actual state of things before submitting the proposal for sanction.

2 Please verify the discrepancy between the Chalan Rope Statement and the Amildar's.

MK 26/7/31

MK 7/8/31

Not yet 8/8

2/8/31

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 4 of 1930.

Serial No.

33 the total extent of garden lands containing Coconut and areca trees as given in the Pahani is 21 acres and 7 guntas under Devarahalli tank and 21 acres and 1 gunta under Thimmanahalli tank against 18 acres and 16 guntas and 1 acre and 26 guntas respectively as per statement furnished by the Amildar. No explanation is forthcoming in the records for the above difference. If approved the Rev Com'r will be addressed in this matter.

34 Devasi) 2/11/31

A/ 14/10/31 35 A. The point requires verification may be referred to R.C.

MS 10/11

36 Write to the R-C at once 2 25/12/31

37 Matter is submitted for orders 2 30/12/31

100 29/12/31 Letter No. R 2990 of 6-1-32 to the Rev Com'r. Requesting him to be explain the difference pointed out in the accts.

TYPING SECTION * 31 DEC. 1931 * GENL. & REV. SECT.

Sec A 2 1/1/32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

R.H File No. 4 of 193 D. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter No. C 2594/30-31 D 19-6-32 from the Asst Comr

Forwards a revised statement showing the total extent of garden lands under Perennial crops is 31 acres and 8 gunts under Dravahalle tank and 21 acres 3 gunts under Thimmanaikanhalle and states that the amount to be remitted is Rs 611/-

As per statement of total extent of 145 acres, 24 gunts cultivated under Dravahalle tank, 31 acres and 8 gunts contain perennial crops. After deducting the extent of Perennial crops, which are not entitled to any remission under the Remission Rules, the extent of 114 acres and 16 gunts is only entitled to remission instead of the total extent of 145 acres 24 gunts as shown in the remission statement.

So in the case of Thimmanaikanhalle tank, out of the total extent of 28 acres 25 and 14 gunts cultivated, 21 acres 3 gunts contain Perennial crops. After deducting the extent of Perennial crops, the extent which is entitled to remission is only 7 acres 11 gunts and not 28 acres 14 gunts as given in the said statement.

Submitted for orders with ref to para 35 supra.

The information furnished in SR (Col 5) does not accurately show

Serial No.

the actual extent entitled to remission
If appt a S.R. shewing the actual extent (revised)
entitled to remission & the yield of crop thereon
during three successive years will be called for.
Sd
6/6

Half wet and garden assessments
may except of lands under perennial
garden crops may be remitted. Information
regarding the yield from crops is always
available in the file. Detailed information
is not necessary to enable the Govt
as entitled to half wet or garden
assessments. The local Govt will be
competent to sanction remission
only in deserving cases.
Secy)
2/6/32

The proposals made in the R.C's letter of 1st April 1931
are now for orders. The R.C. agreeing with the D.C Coimbatore
that the garden owners (i.e. perennial crops) should be
shown the concession in view of the deliberate conditions
of the gardens. The case does not therefore come under
the ordinary remission rules. This has been noticed
by the member also in the minute in para 7. From
the Statement (Flag W) it is seen that the recommended
is for remission of half wet assessment over the
whole extent in the case of both the taluks. Taking
the facts peculiar to these taluks into consideration
the case may be treated as special and remission
sanctioned for the whole area as recommended by R.C.

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY TO COUNCIL
17 JUN 1932
No. 1066-5

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

2nd member) Yes: it shows the more clear
MS
17/6
17/6/32

4205

P. H. File No. 4 of 1930.. | General and Revenue Secretariat

that remission of further assessment in this case (as well as in the village of the Chief-magistrate Lahul, notified on 28.7.31) is issued as a special case, outside the ordinary remissions

4
Order
MS
5/7

25/7/32

TYPING SECTION
12 JUL. 1932
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

Draft to Rule for appl.

9/7/32

Issue as noted.

2/7/32

To the Dy. Comptr.

R-307-8/7-14-7-32

Approved of the Dy's recommendation as a Special case

B
Asstt
30.

No. C.2584/30-31.

Copies, slokas & Sisapiles

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 1st April *1931.*

FROM

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Report first required

443/31

2/4/31

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~The Chief~~ Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Departments, *Bangalore.*

R.R. 4-30-63

SIR,

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, has reported that inspite of heavy rainfall all over the country in October last some parts of Chikmagalur and Tarikere Taluks did not receive adequate rainfall and the wet crops in the villages noted in the statements appended were a failure. It is also stated that in Iswarahalli village, Chikmagalur Taluk there has been a consistent deficiency of rainfall during the last three years and this continued drought has affected the garden lands also. The Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner who has inspected these lands has reported thus "the areca and cocoanut which had somehow managed to withstand the drought for the two previous years have not been able to do so this year. A good number of Areca palms have been killed. Very few bear any crop at all".

2. The Deputy Commissioner has in these circumstances, recommended that the garden owners may be ~~also~~ also shown the same concessions, in view of the fact that their plight is actually worse than that of those holding wet lands as they have at least raised dry crops on their lands. According to his estimate a sum of Rs.676/- in respect of the Chickmagalur Taluk and Rs.462-12-0 in the Tarikere Taluk may have to be remitted on this account.

3. In the above circumstances, I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained early for notifying the above tracts as affected and entitled to remission of half the wet and garden assessment.

4. The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to examine each case involving remission on its individual merits before actually granting remission and to confine remissions only to deserving cases.

5. Copies of Deputy Commissioner's letters Nos.A.4-1038/30-31 dated 29th December 1930 and A.4-1038/30-31 dated 20th March 1931 together with the connected records are herein enclosed for kind reference.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

J P Mangaonkar

Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

Letter No.A.4-1038/30-31 dated 27/29th December 1930, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

I have the honour to state that pursuant to Government Orders Nos.R.14-25/L.R. 149-24-74 dated 3rd October 1925 and R. 2546-55/L.R. 149-24-77 dated 18th November 1925, reports had been called for from the Sub Division Officer, Tarikere, the Amildars and the Deputy Amildar in the District as to whether there were any cases of distress caused by failure of rains in their Taluks requiring grant of remission during the current year (1930-31). The Amildars of Taluks and the Deputy Amildar, Narasimharajapura excepting the Amildars of Chikmagalur and Tarikere have reported that no cases of the kind exist in their Taluks as all Taluks have received adequate supply of water on account of plenty of rain all over their Taluks and the Sub ~~Dk~~ Taluk.

2. The Amildar, Chikmagalur Taluk having reported that there was some distress caused on account of scarcity of rainfall in the Iswarahalli village (vide, his reports dated 31-10-1930 and 24-11-30), I had deputed my Personal Assistant to inspect the area. The Personal Assistant accordingly inspected the lands under Isvarahalli and Thimmanaika's tanks of Iswarahalli village in company with the Amildar on 16th November 1930 and has submitted a detailed report as to the condition of the lands. A copy of his detailed report is enclosed herein. It will be seen therefrom that at the time of inspection "the tank had a little water and it had not reached even up to the sill level of sluice. Consequently the raiyats could not take up water for irrigation. All the wet lands under the tank have been planted with dry crops". It will further ~~have~~ be seen that "in this tract there has been a consistent deficiency of rainfall as per figure obtained from the nearest rain-gauge station at Kalasapura". The rainfall during the year is stated to have been somewhat better but untimely. The raiyats are however reported to have asserted that they usually got much less rain than at Kalasapura. "This continued drought has effected the

the garden lands also. There has been no wet crop on the wet land, for the last 3 years as is evident from pahani. The areca and cocoanut which had somehow managed to withstand the drought for the 2 previous years have not been able to do so this year. A good ~~year~~ number of areca palms have been killed. Very few bear any crop at all. Areca is the staple crop of the garden. The cocoanut palms are in a slightly better condition. The crop in most of them cannot be more than 4 annas".

3. The atchkat under Thimmanaika's tank is reported to be all bagayet. It is stated that "the lands farthest from the tank have no doubt suffered but top a very much lesser degree than those under Isvarahalli tank. The areca plant may have a crop less than 4 annas. But the cocoanut palms have crop varying from 4 annas to 8 annas" and the raiyats are reported to have represented that as the tank is practically empty, they may not reap even 4 annas crop.

4. In these circumstances it is recommended that the raiyats holding wet and garden lands under Isvarahalli tank and garden lands under Thimmanaikan tank may be granted remission of ½ wet and garden assessment although the present remission rules (vide rule 9 of remission rules - appendix to Government Order dated 3-10-1925) are not applicable to garden lands; yet in view of the plight of garden owners being actually worse than the plight of those holding wet lands who have at least raised dry crops; their case also deserves sympethetic consideration.

5. The tanks affected in the Tarikere Taluk are (1) Balasekatte of Siddarahalli village, (2) Balsokatte of Balenahalli village, and the several tanks of Duggalapura village. The Sub Division Officer who had been asked to inspect these areas personally has reported after such inspection (vide his reports dated 8th December 1930) that on account of inadequate rainfall in these areas not even 0-4-0 crop was obtained from the lands, that the raiyats have therefore become somewhat impoverished and are living by wage, labour and by borrowing, that the yield during the current year is not expected to be more than 4 annas. His observations as to the condition of the fields, graneries, etc., are embodied in the enclosed statement. He recommends therefore that remission may be granted in respect of areas affected.

6. The total approximate amount recommended for remission from both Chikmagalur and Tarikere Taluks in respect of both wet and garden lands comes to Rs.940/- as detailed in the statement. As conditions exist warranting grant of remission in these areas during 1930-31, I request that you will be so good as to move Government to have these areas notified as deserving grant of remission of half the wet and garden assessments.

7. The prayer of the villagers that they may be granted remission for the previous 2 years also cannot however be complied under the rules and need not be considered.

8. The file of papers bearing on the subject is forwarded herewith for remission.

Letter No.A.4-1038/30-31 dated 18/20th March 1931, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:-- Remission of half wet and garden assessment in respect of certain lands of the Chikmagalur and Tarikere Taluks.

With reference to your docket No.C.258/30-31 dated 16th January 1930 returning the records connected with the above subject with certain observations, I have the honour to resubmit the same and to state that the yield in wet lands ranging from 4 annas to 12 annas under Isvarahalli and Timmanaikanakere tanks in the Chickmagalur Taluk represents the yield of dry crops raised in those lands and that recommendation for notifying these areas as deserving remission has been made under Government Order No.R.14-25/L.R. 149-24-74 dated 3rd October 1925. The clause "not more than 4 annas" in Rule 4 of the annexure to the above Government order is taken as not to preclude the raising of the dry crops of and above 4 annas in the event of failure of sufficient supply of water to tanks in any year. As matter of fact, a diligent ryot will always raise dry crops when he finds that

it is not possible to raise wet crops.

2. As regards garden lands, it has already been stated by this office (vide para 4 of the letter No.A.4-1038/30-31 dated 17th December 1930) that the existing rules do not admit of granting concessions to the owners of such lands but that their cases also deserve sympathetic consideration at the hands of Government for reasons explained therein.

3. It may be stated in this connection that the yield of crops recorded in the Pahani cannot be entirely relied on as it is stated by the Personal Assistant who inspected the area under my orders that it had not been correctly maintained by the Shanbhogue (Vide copy of his inspection report attached to the letter dated 17th December 1930).

4. Since the submission of the first report, the Sub Division Officer, Tarikere Sub Division has submitted further recommendations for remissions of assessment aggregating to about Rs.200/- in respect of certain lands of Hunsaghatta, Hosahalli and Medihalli villages of Tarikere Taluk for reasons similar to those explained in the first report. A supplementary statement showing the details of inspections, statistics of rainfall etc., is enclosed. The total approximate amount recommended for remission in respect of the Tarikere taluk comes now to Rs.463/-. I request that these areas may also be included in the areas to be notified as deserving remission.

5. Rainfall statements and Pahani extracts with the yield estimated and actual noted received from the Sub Division Officer in respect of all the lands recommended for remission in the Tarikere Taluk are sent herewith as desired.

" C o p i e s "

Yrcanasuja Rau
For Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

Statement showing the remission to be granted during 1930-31 in respect of wet and garden lands in the Kadur District.

Serial No.	Name of Taluk.	Name of village.	Name of tank.	Nature of the land Wet	Nature of the land Garden.	Assessment Rs. A. P	Amount to be remitted. Rs. A. P	Remarks.
1	Chickmagalur	Iswarahalli	Iswarahalli tank.	Wet	Garden	Net given	574 6 0	Deputy Commissioner recommends the garden owners may also be shown the same concession (i.e remission of ½ garden assessment) in view of the fact that they are actually worse than those holding wet lands as the latter have at least raised dry crops on their lands.
2	-do-	-do-	Thimmanaika's tank.		Garden.	- Nil -	101 10 0	
3.	Tarikere	Dugdapura	Kadlekere Malukere Bilichakalkere Kanagalkatte. Janivankatte Ballekatte Kammarukatte Menasakere	Wet		398 4 0	199 2 0	
4	Tarikere	Siddarahalli	~~Balasamudrakere~~ Balasekatte	Wet		19 0 0	9 8 0	
5	-do-	Balenahalli	Balasokatte	Wet		108 12 0	54 6 0	
6	-do-	Hosahalli	~~Madakere~~ Hosahalli	Wet		48 8 0	24 4 0	

7. Tarikere	Mudugundi	Madakere	wet	120	8	0	60	4	0
8 -do-	Madihalli	Ammankere	wet	88	4	0	44	2	0
		Mallagowdana kere.	wet	56	12	0	28	6	0
9. -do-	Hanasaghatta	Ooramundina- kere.	wet	85	8	0	42	12	0

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to R.4014

Section R. It.

File No. 4-80

Serial No.

No. R 5788 71.

Reserve 86 Files.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rev)

the 193

To

The Revenue Commr. Mysore

Date of issue 21-4-1931

Signed by Mr. M. Channaiah

Sir,

With ref to your letter No. C 2584/80-3 78 dtd 1st April 1931 proposing the transfer of Ralph Wet and garden assessment on lands under certain tanks in the Chikmagalur and Tarikere Taluks of the Kadur Dist, during the current year, I am directed to observe that though it is expected that the report should reach Govt by the 15th November, it is not

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

[over

Sent to Watt & Miller

understood
~~clear by it is not stated in~~
after Considering
the present case, that from the
remission statement it is
not clear if the conditions
laid down in rule 4 of the
Remission Rules is satisfied
and that it is said that the
yield in respect of wet lands
under certain
under Dwarkachali tanks was
4 to 12 annas. In this connection
I am to request that information
-x- on the marginally noted
points may be furnished.

I am further to observe
that the remission statements
sent up to Govt are not quite
in the form prescribed in the
G.O. No. R 2546-55/LR 149-24-77
R
of the 11th Nov 1925. The papers
are therefore returned.

I have &c

Dept Sec

2/6/4/31

15/4/31

-x- 1) On what extent is the yield 4 annas and under
2) In what extent more than half the area under the tanks

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
R. 5288
29 JUNE 1931
REVENUE BRANCH.

No. C. 2584/30-31

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd June *193* 1

FROM

S.P.Rajagopalachari. Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

N. Rama Rao. Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Departments, *Bangalore.*

Remission of ½ wet and garden assessment on lands under certain tanks in the Chikmagalur and Tarikere taluks on account of scarcity of rainfall.

Sir

With reference to your letter No. R. 5788/Rm.4-30-71 dated 21st April 1931 calling for additional particulars on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No.A4.1038/30-31 dated 11th June 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District furnishing the required information in respect of lands in the Tarikere taluk and to state that the reasons for the delay in making the above recommendation have not been properly explained by the Deputy Commissioner. But in the special circumstances of the case, I request that the delay may be kindly condoned and early sanction of Government obtained for notifying the lands in the Tarikere taluk (referred to in this Office letter No.C.2584/30-31 dated 4th April 1931) as affected and entitled to remission of half wet and garden assessment.

Information required in respect of lands in the Chikmagalur taluk will be furnished as soon as it is received from the Deputy Commissioner. The records on the above subject accompany for kind reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.

Letter No.A4.1038/30-31 dated 11th June 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Remission of ½ wet and garden assessment on lands under certain tanks in the Chikmagalur and Tarikere taluk on account of scarcity of rainfall.

With reference to your Memo No.C.2684/30-31 dated 5-5-1931 forwarding for report a copy of the Development Department Secretary's letter No.R.5788/R.M.4-30-71 dated 21st April 1931 in the above matter, I have the honour to note in the margin, the information required on each of the two points mentioned therein so far as the lands in the Tarikere taluk are concerned. Similar particulars required in respect of certain lands of Chikmagalur taluk have not yet been received and they will be sent on receipt.

Name of village.	On what extent is the yield 4 as. and under.	Is the extant more than half the area under the tank.	Area under tank.
	A. G		A. G
..Dugalapura.	168- 13 —O	Yes-	265- 39
2. Balenhalli.	38- 29— F	Yes.	44- 7
3. Siddarahalli.	6- 8 —I	"	10- 26
4. Hosahalli.	48- 2 - E	"	54- 17
5. Medihalli.	41- 34 —— G	"	48-12
6. Hunsaghatta.	52- 28 (@) A	"	58- 26

(@) In the remission statement of the Taluk an extent of 29-14 is shown to have been cultivated. The extent now given represents the total area of wet and garden lands under tank excluding perennial garden lands.

2. Except for the substitution of the word "tract" for words 'villages' and "area in each village " in cols. 6 and 7 of the Remission Statements and an omission of the word - "approximately" after the word 'amounts' in col.6 the form is otherwise in accordance with the form I appended to G.O. No.R.2546-55 L.R.149-24-77 dated 18th November 1925. The word 'Tract' was substituted to make it accord with the altered definition of a 'tract' in G.O.No.R.4229-40/L.R.489-26-4 dated 1st December 1927. But in view of the observation that the statements are not quite in the prescribed form necessary corrections have been made now.

3. The files of papers relating to the subject, the correct remission statements and also the copy of the Personal Assistant's note on Insoection dated 1st December 1930 are herewith returned.

Copy.

Yacaraaiiga Rau

For Revenue Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section R-M

File No. 4-30

Serial No. 94

No. R.480.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

To

.....Notification.....

Date of issue 2-8-7-1931

Signed by M/s. D. Srinivasa Iyengar

Jt. Secretary to Government

-- Under Rule 2 of the Rules issued in G.O. No. R 14-25/LR. 1409-24-74 dated 3.10.1925 as amended by G.O. No. R4229-40, LR 4489-26-4 dated 1.12.1927, the wet and gaiden lands (excluding those under perennial garden crops) comprising the atchkats of the marginal-ized tanks in Duggalapura, Balenahalli, Siddanahalli, Hosahalli Medikalli and Hunasaghatta villages in the Sorikere taluk are declared entitled to remission of half wet

[over

The Name of tank.	The Village in which it is situated.
1. Kadlekere	Duggalapura.
2. Malukere	
3. Kanagalkatte	
4. Bilichakalkere	
5. Janivankatte	
6. Balle katte	
7. Kammarnkatte	
8. Menasakere	
9. Balsa katte	Balenahalli
List of enclosures.....	
10 Balasekatte	Siddanahalli
11. Hosahalli	Hosahalli
12. Mudungudi	
Copied by.....	
13. Anamikere	Medikalli
14. Mallapuradankere.	
Examined by.....	
15. Oorumundmakere	Hunasaghatta

and garden assessment during the year

1930-31.

By Order

Sec'y to Govt 23.7

Dept. of Rev.

21/7/31

To

The Compiler Mysore Gazette

for publication

Memo. No. R 481 / Rev. Secy. dated 28-7-31.

Copy of the above together with

the Connected Vernacular records is

forwarded with Compliments to the

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore with

reference to Correspondence ending with

his letter No. C 2584 / 30-31 dated

23.6.1931 with the request that

remission shall be confined to

only deserving Cases

By Order

23.7

Sec'y to Govt.

Dept. of Rev.

21/7/31

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No. R 480
R.M.4-30-94

Dated, Bangalore, 28-7-1931.

NOTIFICATION.

Under Rule 2 of the Rules issued in Government Order No. R.14-35/L.R.149-34-35-74 dated 3rd October 1935 as amended by Government Order No.R.4220-40/L.R.489-36-4 dated 1st December 1937, the wet and garden lands (excluding those under perennial garden crops) comprising the ayakats of the marginally noted tanks in Nuggalapura, Balenahalli, Siddarahalli, Hosahalli, Hoddhalli and Kunsahatta villages in the Tarikere Taluk are declared entitled to remission of half wet and garden assessment during the year 1930-31.

The name of tank.	The village in which it is situated.
1. Hadlekere	Nuggalapura
2. Halukere.	
3. Hanagalkatte.	
4. Bilichakalkere.	
5. Janiyarkatte	
6. Ballekatte.	
7. Kammarukatte.	
8. Honnasakere.	
9. Balgokatte	Balenahalli
10. Balasekatte	Siddarahalli
11. Hosahalli	Hosahalli
12. Kudurundi.	
13. Amanikere	Hoddhalli
14. Hallagavdankere.	
15. Gorumundimakere	Kunsahatta

By order,

Sd. D.Srinivasa Iyengar.
Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

To
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in the Gazette.

Memo No. R 481/Rm Records Dt. 28-7-1931

Copy of the above together with the connected vernacular records is forwarded with compliments to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore with reference to correspondence ending

P.T.O.

with his letter No.C.2584/30-31 dated 23rd June 1931 with the request that remission should be confined to only deserving cases.

By order,

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

B.n.h.

No. C.2584/30-31.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 4th September 1931

FROM

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
7. SEP. 1931

C3531

To

B. T. Kesava Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, *Bangalore.*

Subject:-- Remission of wet and garden assessment on land under certain tanks in the Chickmagalur Taluk for the year 1930-1931.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.R.5788/R.M. 4-30-71 dated 21st April 1931 and in continuation of this office letter No.C.2584/30-31 dated 26th June 1931 furnishing certain particulars in respect of the Tarikere Taluk, I have the honour to forward herewith copies of letters Nos.A.4-1038/30-31 dated 17th July 1931 and No.A.4-1038/30-31 dated 17th August 1931, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, furnishing the required information in respect of the Chickmagalur Taluk and to state that it is reported therein that the total extent yielding a crop of 4 annas and under, is 173 acres, 38 guntas under both the tanks (Isvarahalli and Thimmanaikanakere) and that this extent is more than half of the area of 194 acres 1 gunta, under these tanks.

2. The reasons for the delay in making the above recommendation have not been properly explained by the Deputy Commissioner. But in the special circumstances of the case, I request that the delay may be kindly condoned and early sanction of Government obtained for notifying the lands in the Chickmagalur Taluk (referred to in this office letter No.C.2584/30-31 dated 4th April 1931) as affected and entitled to a remission of half the wet and garden assessment.

3. The connected records received with Kadur Deputy Commissioner's letter No.A.4- 1038-30 dated 29th August 1931

P.T.O.

accompany for reference.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Naranchan 5/9/91

Assistant Commissioner,
For Revenue Commissioner,
Absent from the statio

BR.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Remission of Wet and garden assessment on lands under certain tanks in the Chikmagalur Taluk for 1931-32

Section R. 17

File No. 4 - 30

Serial No. 100

Diary No. of letter replied to 9662

No. R. 2980/31, Revenue

To The Asst Comr in Mysore.

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rev)

the *193*

With ref to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C. 2587/30-31 dt the 17th Sept 1931 on the above subject, I am directed to observe that the total extent of garden lands containing coconut and areca trees as detailed in the Pahani is 21 acres and 7 guntas under Iravahalli tank and 21 acres and 1 gunta under Muniyanaikankere tank respectively as against 18 acres and 16 guntas and 1 acre and 26 guntas as per statement furnished by the Tahsildar

Date of issue 6-1-1932

Signed by Dr. M. Channaiah

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

~~and kept in the records~~ Necessary action may be taken

Edward. Yes

I am to request you to be so
vertical.
good as to explain clearly how difference above directions
~~printed out.~~ Are easy right in reply

I have to

2.

7. Dear Sir,

Yrs

29/12/31

Cordially
[illegible]
4/1/32

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
No. 4-30-101
23 MAY 1932
REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

No. C. 2584/30-31.

Dated, Bangalore, 18th May *1932.*

FROM

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
20 MAY 1932

Rev. 4-30-101

To

B.T. Kesava Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, *Bangalore.*

SIR,

Chickmagalur Taluk - remission of half wet assessment for the year 1930-31.

With reference to your letter No.R.2980/R.M. 4-30-100 dated the 4th January 1932, regarding certain discrepancies in the statement accompanying the recommendation for the remission of half wet assessment in respect of certain tanks in the Chickmagalur Taluk, I have the honour to forward herewith a revised statement received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, with his letter No.A.4-1038/30-31 dated the 31st March 1932 (copy enclosed) from which it will be seen that the total extent of garden lands under perennial crops is 31 acres and 8 guntas under Isvarahalli tank and 21 acres 8 guntas under Thimmanaikankere. The amount that may have to be remitted according to the revised calculations is Rs.611/-. I request the favour of early orders in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

Letter No.A.4-1038/30-31 dated 31st March 1932, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:-- Remission of wet and garden assessment on lands under certain tanks in the Chickmagalur Taluk for 1930-31.

With reference to your Memo No.C.2584/30-31 dated 26th January 1932 forwarding a copy of the Development Secretary's letter No.R.2980/R.M. 4-30-100 dated 4/6th January 1932 for explaining certain discrepancies in the remission statement furnished by the Amildar in connection with the above subject, I have the honor to state that on a further examination of the Pahani in the Taluk Office it is found that the total extent of garden lands containing cocoanut and areca trees is 31 acres and 8 guntas under Isvarahalli tank and 21 acres and 3 guntas under Thimmanaikankere as against 21 acres 7 guntas and 21 acres 1 gunta respectively as stated in your docket under reference. Revised statements as above in respect of garden lands bearing Areca and cocoanut under ~~x~~ each tank are enclosed.

2. It is stated that the original statement had to be revised on account of the illegible writing of the Shanbhogue in the Pahani, whose explanation is enclosed in the records. The discrepancy is also due to the filling up of Col.4 of the statement without understanding the heading properly as may be seen from the fact that the whole extent of wet and garden lands cultivated was given in the column instead of the whole extent of wet and garden lands minus the area of perennial crops as the heading requires. These mistakes have now been rectified and a revised remission statement giving the required information is enclosed.

3. According to the revised statement the approximate amount to be remitted comes to Rs.611/- as against Rs.676/- as reported ~~x~~ already. The revised figure may therefore be adopted.

4. The file of correspondence ending with the Chickmagalu

Taluk Amildar's report No.109/30-31 dated 19th March 1932 accompanies.

"C o p y"

For Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4325R

Section R-H

File No. 4-34

Serial No. 102

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rev)

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 14-7- 1932.

Signed by Mr. H. Channaiah

Read— Letter No. C 2534/30-31 9 dtd 1st April 1931 from the Revl Comr in Mysore, stating that the Dy Comr, Kadur Distt reported that inspite of heavy rainfall all over the Country in the month of Oct 1930, the Taluk in October last, some parts of Chikmagalur and Davangere Taluks did not receive adequate rainfall, and the crops in the villages of the said Taluks were a failure, that in Doravakalli village, Chikmagalur Taluk, there has been a consistent deficiency of rainfall during the last three years and the cultivators

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order thereon—No

drought has affected the garden lands also with the result that a good number of areca palms have been killed and I recommending therefore that the owners of Wet and garden lands under Iruvaipatti tank and garden lands under ~~Dry~~ may be ~~given~~ shown ~~the same concessions~~ granted Thennamanakkan lands in Thiruvaiyaru Kennedy remission of half Wet and garden assessment for the year 1930-31, although the present remission rules are not applicable to garden lands in view of the fact that their plight is already worse than that of those holding Wet-lands, as they have at least reaped dry crops on their lands.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. C-2584/30-31 dated 18th May 1932 from the Taluk Office, stating that the total extent of garden lands under perennial crops is 31 acres and 6 cents under Iruvaipatti tank and 2 acres and 3 cents under Thennamanakkan lands and that the amount that

List of Enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

The Rev Commissioner's recommendation to sanction the remission of half wet and garden assessment to the wet and garden lands — inclusive of those under perennial garden crops — under Irumaladi tank, and the garden lands with perennial crops under Irumaladi village of the Chekkanayakanpatti Palaik for the year 1930-31, is approved. But I have in view the special circumstances brought to notice the recommendation has been sanctioned as a special case. The remission rules do not contain any provision

WD/0537—GPB—1,000—28-4-32

that may have to be remitted according to the revised calculation is Rs 611/-.

Order No. R307-08 Dt 14-2-32

The Rev Comr recommends that the garden owners table of Wet and garden lands with perennial crops should be given under Irumaladi tank and the garden lands with perennial crops under Thennampattikkalvai in the Irumaladi village of the Chekkanayakanpatti Palaik may be granted remission of half Wet and garden assessment during the year 1930-31 in view of the deteriorated condition of their gardens the case does not come under the ordinary remission rules. But taking the facts pecular to these tracts into consideration, Govt consider that the cases may be treated as special and they are accordingly pleased

to sanction remission of half Wet and garden assessment for the year 1930-31 in favour of the ryots concerned.

to sanction the remission of half
Wt and further assessment over
for whole amount in the case of
both the lands as a special case,
outside the ordinary remission rules.

Dept Secy

Sec - Hon Rev Min with records

a Compt[r]

[illegible]

9/7/12

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
* * *

READ:-

Letter No.C.2534/30-31 dated the 1st April 1931 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, stating that the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, reported that inspite of heavy rainfall all over the country in the month of October 1930, some parts of Chickmagalur Taluk did not receive adequate rainfall, that in Iswarahalli Village, Chickmagalur Taluk, there has been a consistant deficiency of rainfall during the last three years and this continued draught has affected the garden lands also with the result that a good number of areas palms have been killed and recommending therefore that the owners of wet and garden lands under Iswarahalli tank and garden lands under Themmanaikan tank in the village of Iswarahally may be granted remission of half wet and garden assessment for the year 1930-31 although the present remission-rules are not applicable to garden lands, in view of the fact that their plight is actually worse than that of those holding wet lands, as they have at least raised dry crops on their lands.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.2584/30-31 dated 18th May 1932 from the same officer, stating that the total extent of garden lands under perennial crops is 31 acres and 8 guntas under Iswarahalli tank and 21 acres and 3 guntas under Themmanaikankere and that the amount that may have to be remitted according to the revised calculation is Rs 611/-.

- - - - - -

ORDER NO: R-307-8/Rule. 4-30-102

Dated, Bangalore, the 13th July 1932.

The Revenue Commissioner's recommendation to sanction the remission of half wet and garden assessment to the wet and garden lands-inclusive of those under perennial garden crops - under Iswarahalli tank, and the garden lands

grown with perennial crops under Thammanaikankere in Iswarahalli Village in Chickmagalur Taluk for the year 1930-31, is approved. Government however observe that in view of the special circumstances brought to notice the recommendation has been sanctioned as a special case though under the ordinary remission rules no concession is admissible.

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, with records.
" Comptroller to Government.

S.R.-3